FORM 9 CERTIFICATE OF SUBSTANTIAL PERFORMANCE OF THE CONTRACT UNDER SECTION 32 OF THE ACT

Construction Act

Christian Island Reserve No.30 (Country/District/Regional Municipality/Town/City in which premises are situated) Beausoleil First Nation Social Services Building, 24 O'Gemaa Miikan, Christian Island, ON, L9M 0A9 (street address and city, town, etc., or, if there is no street address, the location of the premises) This is to certify that the contract for the following improvement: Renovations and addition to the Beausoleil First Nation Social Services building. (short description of the improvement) to the above premises was substantially performed on December 17, 2020 (date substantially performed) Date certificate signed: December 17, 2020 Jake Pauls Wolf on behalf of LGA Architectural Partners (payment certifier where there is one) (bwner and contractor, where there is no payment certifier) Name of owner: Beausoleil First Nation Address of service: 24 O'Gemaa Miikan, Christian Island, ON, L9M 0A9 Name of contractor: Norwin Contracting Inc. Address for service: 571 Moneta Avenue, Timmins, ON, P4N 2N9 Name of payment certifier (where applicable): LGA Architectural Partners 310 Spadina Avenue, Suite 100B, Toronto, ON, M5T 2E8 Address: (Use A or B, whichever is appropriate) A. Identification of premises for preservation of liens: (if a lien attaches to premises, a legal description of the premises, including all property identifier numbers and addresses for

the premises)

B. Office to which claim for lien must be given to preserve lien:

premises -24 O'Gemaa Miikan, Christian Island

name and address of office - Beausoleil First Nation, 11 O'Gemaa Miikan, Christian Island, ON, L9M 0A9 (*if a lien does not attach to the premises, a concise description of the premises, including addresses, and the name and*

address of the person of body to whom the claim for lien must be given)

The issuance of this Certificate does not release the Contractor or any Sub-Contractor from the duty of completing the work pursuant to the terms of the contract. The above referenced project site was visited by staff of this office on numerous occasions during the course of construction. The work that was being executed at the time of our visits and that which could be visually inspected after completion, appeared to conform to the intent of our design and contract documents. We are satisfied that our random checking was sufficient to ensure that the contractor's own quality control procedures were sufficient to ensure a reasonable probability that the overall quality of work was comparable to that actually witnessed by us.